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CHMN STAFFORD: Let's go on the record.
Now is the time set for the continuation of the hearing on line siting matter 218.

With me are Members French, Members Mercer, Members Drago, Member Hamway, Member Little.

MEMBER PALMER: And me.
CHMN STAFFORD: I'm drawing a blank. Member Palmer.

MEMBER HAMWAY: It's a long name.
CHMN STAFFORD: With us online is Member Gentles.

Are we ready to resume the hearing?
I believe that the parties were ready to provide their closing arguments; is that correct, Ms. Grabel?

MS. GRABEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes.
Perhaps before doing so we do have our Exhibit 17 that has been uploaded to your tablets, and we also have a hard copy available. And just to refresh your recollection, this is the letter to the company from Kinder Morgan regarding colocation of the gas pipelines.

CHMN STAFFORD: Would you like to move for admission for that into evidence?

MS. GRABEL: I would like to move for admission. I want you to take a look at it real quick to make sure that it is what $I$ say it is.
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CHMN STAFFORD: Okay.
MS. GRABEL: But I would like to move to admit it if possible.

CHMN STAFFORD: All right. UNSE-17 is admitted.
(Exhibit UNSE-17 was admitted.)
MS. GRABEL: Thank you very much.
Shall I --
CHMN STAFFORD: Please proceed.
MS. GRABEL: Thank you very much.
CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you.
MS. GRABEL: So then I would like to thank the Committee for their time, their travel and their diligent consideration of the project before you.

I feel privileged to represent TEP and UNSE in line siting proceedings. I think that this hearing has demonstrated that this is a company that takes its obligation to serve customers seriously, but it also takes seriously how meeting that obligation will impact stakeholders.

Mr. Bryner just came up and told me this morning that he spent his morning run running the trails of Circle $Z$ to better understand how the transmission line would impact the horse riding routes. And I really think that demonstrates how this company goes above and beyond to understand the impact of their projects on
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stakeholders.
The proposed route was the result of years of detailed analysis, ground truthing -- a word I think we all just learned -- public outreach and personal engagement with the people affected by the project, including many of the residents who made public comment, and Mr. Edwards who made a limited appearance in this matter.

The concerns of the Lake Patagonia area residents are understandable, but the impact of this line to them is likely to be far less onerous than they presently anticipate. The proposed route is located a half a mile away from the closest residence in the Lake Patagonia Estates and a mile away if Route 2B is selected. It will be minimally visible at either of those distances.

The line has been designed to blend into the environment to the greatest extent possible, nestle behind hills to minimize the visual impact to viewers and constructed by self-weathering poles colored similarly to the desert environment.

As we saw from the visual simulations, the transmission line for the most part will be less visible than the existing distribution line that runs through this area.

I think the evidence was also clear that there is a true need for this project. As we heard from Mr. Risner, South 32nd, which is UNSE's existing customer, has spent more than $\$ 2$ billion dollars on their project and is pre-funding construction of the line.

This is not a line that is going to nowhere.
Mr. Risner's testimony was also clear that South 32nd was meticulous in calculating the 87-megawatt load increase. And Mr. Bryner explained why that need can only be met through a 138 kV transmission line.

The company has done its best to ensure that the route chosen has a minimal impact on the environment and ecology of the state, and we therefore respectfully request that the Committee approve the proposed route and authorize the CEC. Thank you.

CHMN STAFFORD: Mr. Acken.
MR. ACKEN: Thank you, Chairman. Good morning, members of the Committee.

We really appreciate your consideration of the transmission line project, and, again, coming to Nogales to do so. I just want to echo some of the comments that Ms. Grabel just made.

We respectfully submit that this record contains a clear demonstration of need. As Pat Risner testified, South 32nd's current options require more power than the GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 602.266.6535 www.glennie-reporting.com
distribution line can provide. And the Hermosa project will be a model of sustainable development and an economic powerhouse for Santa Cruz County.

In addition, as Mr. Bryner testified, the transmission line project will also provide reliability benefits to residents in the area.

I want to specifically echo Ms. Grabel's comments about UNSE's efforts. I see a lot of these, and you see them all. I think that their efforts in this case are really topnotch. The public outreach process was robust and thorough.

The witnesses presented testimony of thoughtful and comprehensive assessment of alternatives and their rationale for the preferred route that's before you now.

And so South32 does recommend the preferred alignment, which best follows linear features and balances competing resource considerations.

At the end of the day as a result of UNSE's efforts, and the siting process, you have before you an evidentiary record that clearly shows the preferred route is environmentally compatible.

We thank you for your time and respectfully request your support for the CEC.

Thank you.
CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you, Mr. Acken.
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Members, any discussion?
MEMBER FRENCH: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member French.
MEMBER FRENCH: With respect to this new piece of evidence that was admitted this morning, the letter from the El Paso Natural Gas Company, there are a couple of things that $I$ have questions about that may be best answered by South32.

First, in the letter it states that, "EPNG will require the project to fund an alternating current induction study before construction and also any impacts that are identified in this study that they will be at the project expense."

And then also that "UNSE cooperates with attaining the study and required mitigations and complies with the guidelines that are attached."

Can you please discuss that?
CHMN STAFFORD: Mr. Acken, would you like to have your witness take the stand again?

MR. ACKEN: Yes. And maybe he can sit here in the interest of expediency so that he and I can both look at this. I'm not sure if Mr. Risner has seen this letter before, but $I$ will ask him to see whether he has.

CHMN STAFFORD: And I'll remind Mr. Risner he's still under oath. Thank you.
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MR. RISNER: I have not seen the letter before but I have just read the paragraph on the study.

MR. ACKEN: Mr. French, is the question whether that is part of South32's commitment to fund construction of the project?

MEMBER FRENCH: Yes.
MR. RISNER: Yes. If this study is required, we would fund it as part of our commitment to the project.

MEMBER FRENCH: Thank you.
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little.
MEMBER LITTLE: Along those lines, does the condition that is in the proposed CEC cover these requirements do we suppose, or will we need to expand that when we get to that point? A legal question.

CHMN STAFFORD: I think I'll let Ms. Grabel answer that question.

MS. GRABEL: Thank you, Chairman Stafford. I honestly do not know the technical difference between what EPNG is requiring and what a cathodic protection study would be. Perhaps, Mr. Bryner can answer that question.

MR. BRYNER: It's my understanding -- am I okay to speak?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Bryner. I

GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC www.glennie-reporting.com
602.266 .6535 Phoenix, AZ
will remind you you're under oath still.
MR. BRYNER: Thank you, Chairman.
It's my understanding it is identical to the requirement that's in the condition.

MS. GRABEL: Thank you.
MEMBER LITTLE: Thank you.
CHMN STAFFORD: Before we pull up the draft CEC and start discussing the conditions, I wanted to have kind of a general discussion among the members about what route we should be considering.

Should we be -- consider it to be approving the recommended route, or does anybody have an interest in selecting one of the alternative routes?

MEMBER HAMWAY: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member Hamway.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I think I might have an interest in selecting 2B.

CHMN STAFFORD: 2B. Well, I would refer the Committee to page E7 of the application.

MEMBER HAMWAY: E7?
CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, E7. It's about an inch and a half down into the application.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Right. Okay.
CHMN STAFFORD: No, not the exhibit list. The application itself.

MEMBER FRENCH: Mr. Chairman, would it be possible to have that projected? I don't have my binder with me.

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, that would be great. Is that possible, the A/V team?

A/V TEAM MEMBER: Mr. Chairman, it is possible. Just give us one minute to find that page.

CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you.
It would also be helpful to project the map with the alternate routes on the opposite screen so we can see what we're talking about. Thank you.

Can everybody see that now?
On the screen on the left you can see the visual impact assessment.

MEMBER GENTLES: I can't see it.
CHMN STAFFORD: Oh, Member Gentles.
MEMBER GENTLES: Yes, Member Gentles. I see a document with a grid but not a map.

CHMN STAFFORD: Do you have your copy of the application handy?

MEMBER GENTLES: Well, I'm trying to find it in this binder.

CHMN STAFFORD: It's under the E tab. It's page 7 of Exhibit $E$ to the application.

MEMBER GENTLES: All right. Thank you. All
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right. Thank you.
CHMN STAFFORD: All right. Does everybody have the pages in front of them? Members?

MEMBER LITTLE: Yes.
CHMN STAFFORD: Okay. You can see from Table 10 the visual impact assessment that Section 2A, 2B, and 1C have the lowest visual impact.

MEMBER LITTLE: The most or the least?
CHMN STAFFORD: The least. They're ranked. They're scored and ranked based on types and number of exposure.

And given the fact that we've had a lot of public comment about the visual impacts I thought it was worth a discussion about choosing which -- whether the preferred or alternate route is more compatible.

MEMBER HAMWAY: So does this say that 2B --
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Hamway.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yes. I'm sorry. Does this say
that 2B has the lowest visual impact assessment?
CHMN STAFFORD: It has 2 B is the lower visual impact than 1A.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Correct. But --
CHMN STAFFORD: And that 2B has a lower visual impact than 1B and that 1C has a lower visual impact than 2 C .
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MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay. I think the major hit on $2 B$, if $I$ can remember, was the fact that it was creating a new utility corridor; is that correct?

MS. GRABEL: Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
MEMBER LITTLE: Okay. Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little.
MEMBER LITTLE: I think I would be in favor of considering both $2 A$ and 2B. And then up in the area in the $C$ area sticking with the preferred Route 1C. That would be my preference.

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes. I'm inclined to agree with that, Member Little.

MEMBER HAMWAY: So, Member Little, what are you --

MEMBER LITTLE: The alternative in the $A$ area, 2A, the alternative in the $B$ area, $2 B$, and then in the $C$ area --

MEMBER HAMWAY: Yeah, I wouldn't mess with that one.

MEMBER LITTLE: -- preferred one.
CHMN STAFFORD: Because I understand that those would move the line further away from residences.

MEMBER LITTLE: Right. It was pretty clear to me that the residents preferred those alternatives, and I think that's important.

CHMN STAFFORD: And I think everybody agrees on 1C. I think the big question with 1C is going to be the Forest Service. But it passes through the Forest Service in two other spots before it gets to 1C anyway. So I fail to see how why the Forest Service how -- why the Forest Service would prefer the 2 C route when it still has miles of line through -- it can't avoid the forest. It cannot. So to take that little chunk out to run it through the neighborhood doesn't seem to make any sense to me.

MEMBER PALMER: I agree.
CHMN STAFFORD: Do any members have questions for the Applicant?

MEMBER PALMER: I would be interested to know their thoughts on the proposal to use 2A and 2B because those appear to be --

CHMN STAFFORD: Ms. Grabel, would your like to have Mr. Bryner address that?

MS. GRABEL: Certainly.
Mr. Bryner, what would the company's position be if the Committee adopted Routes 2A and 2B as opposed to preferred alternatives?

MR. BRYNER: Yes. So as discussed, the primary difference between -- or the distinguishing factor of why we selected 1A and 1B over 2A and 2B -- those will
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continue to haunt me forever.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Us too.
MR. BRYNER: It was really just that existing linear infrastructure. That was the reason why the other was selected over this.

Yes, from a visual standpoint, the other -- the alternative routes faired better primarily because of the distance to the residential areas as opposed to the preferred routes.

The only reason we selected the preferred was a result of that trying to collocate with an existing corridor.

As the company, we have no problem with the alternative routes. They're viable routes that we can build.

CHMN STAFFORD: And the utility easement you're talking about is the natural gas line; correct?

MR. BRYNER: For 1A it's the natural gas line. For 1B it would be the linear infrastructure of the highway.

CHMN STAFFORD: The highway, is that what it was?

MR. BRYNER: Correct.
CHMN STAFFORD: Okay. All right. Members, I would ask for a motion to consider. I think we should GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 602.266.6535 www.glennie-reporting.com Phoenix, AZ
probably vote on whether -- what the route will be before we get into the CEC --

MEMBER HAMWAY: Absolutely.
CHMN STAFFORD: -- and the conditions and that sort of thing.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Right.
MEMBER PALMER: Can I ask one other question?
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes. By all means, Member
Palmer.
MEMBER PALMER: And it was probably answered already, but on the north-south portion of the 2 B , would that right-of-way be on the private portion of that or the Forest Service portion of that?

MR. BRYNER: Yes, Member Palmer. On the north-south portion along 2B, the corridor we've requested would span both forest and private. The intention would be to place that on private, however. We did not include that in our Application to the Forest Service for the SF299.

MEMBER PALMER: Thank you.
CHMN STAFFORD: Any other questions?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: All right. I would ask for a motion for, one, to decide whether to decide to go with A or B. And then for, two, for B, to go with 1 or 2B, and GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 602.266 .6535 www.glennie-reporting.com Phoenix, AZ
then $C$ for 1 or 2C.
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move that in the
A region we go with 2 A .
MEMBER HAMWAY: I second that.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, 2A is selected.
Can I have a motion for segment $B$ ?
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we go with alternative 2B.

MEMBER HAMWAY: I'll second it.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor of 2B say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, 2B.
Can I get a motion for Section C?
MEMBER HAMWAY: I make a motion we accept 1 C .
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor of 1C say "aye".
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)

CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Section 1C is adopted.

All right. Are we ready to put the CEC up on the board, members?

MEMBER HAMWAY: Yep.
CHMN STAFFORD: I'm looking in the direction of the A/V team. Can you -- we'll put -- with the Word on the left and PDF on the right.

We're all set?
Why don't we scroll it up so we can -- I'm going to assume the caption's correct.

Can I get a member to move the introduction, and then we'll amend it?

MEMBER HAMWAY: I move the introduction.
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All right. Today's the 19th; correct?

MEMBER HAMWAY: Yep.
MEMBER PALMER: That is correct.
CHMN STAFFORD: This section looks correct. If you scroll down.

We would need to move Member Grinnell -actually, we should -- should we make a note that he recused himself in the order?

MEMBER LITTLE: Yes.

MEMBER HAMWAY: I think so, yes.
CHMN STAFFORD: I would suggest you put recused in parenthesis next -- after his description and title. MEMBER HAMWAY: Perfect.

CHMN STAFFORD: Keep scrolling please.
I think after the South32 intervention we should also note that --

MEMBER HAMWAY: We had a limited appearance.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes. It's all the same statute number.

MEMBER LITTLE: So it should say, "The following party was granted limited."

CHMN STAFFORD: Individual -- limited appearance are not parties. We'll have to fill in the X to x at the end of the order.

Can I get a motion to adopt the introduction as amended?

MEMBER HAMWAY: I move we adopt the introduction as amended.

MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, the introduction

```
is adopted.
    Can I get a motion to -- for the Chairman to
correct scrivener's errors?
    MEMBER HAMWAY: So moved.
    MEMBER PALMER: Second.
    CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor?
    (A chorus of "ayes.")
    CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
    (No response.)
    Hearing none, motion passes. Moving on to the
project description.
MEMBER LITTLE: Do we want to put the actual
length?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yeah, I was going to ask. Did we exceed the 20 miles by adding \(2 A\) and \(2 B\) ?
CHMN STAFFORD: I'll defer that to Ms. Grabel, who will defer it to Mr . Bryner.
MR. BRYNER: Sorry. Yes, Mr. Chairman.
We've not exceeded the 20 miles in length. That accounted the 17 miles was on the low end, the 20 miles was on the high end. I can add up the miles here. We have that in our application. I can get that if we want to put the actual.
CHMN STAFFORD: I think if the range stated in the order is accurate, that's good enough. We don't need
```
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to narrow it down. I don't think.
    Members? Do you agree with that?
    MEMBER LITTLE: That's fine.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: I don't think it matters.
    CHMN STAFFORD: Okay.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: No one ever reads it anyway.
Did I say that out loud? Don't put that in there.
    THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry.
    CHMN STAFFORD: Members, let me know when you're
ready to scroll down unless you want to read it out loud.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: NO.
    MEMBER LITTLE: Do we change the description to
reflect what we --
    MEMBER PALMER: What we just voted on?
    MEMBER HAMWAY: Yeah, we need to do that.
    CHMN STAFFORD: Well, the alternates are
    described later on. This is just the -- we'll probably
    want to change the order, findings of facts and orders
    later on, but I think this is just stating --
    MEMBER LITTLE: Oh, okay. Oh, I see. Thank
    you.
    MEMBER PALMER: Okay.
    CHMN STAFFORD: -- what the Applicant stated
        in --
            MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.

MEMBER LITTLE: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER PALMER: Is there more below that that we haven't seen?

CHMN STAFFORD: Have the members completed their review of the -- reviewed the project description for the preferred route?

MEMBER PALMER: I don't think we've seen all of it yet. There we go.

CHMN STAFFORD: I think we'll take it in sections. We'll do the preferred route and the introduction first and then move to the alternative route.

MEMBER PALMER: I would move approval of the project overview preferred route.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, that portion of the project description is adopted.

Moving on to the preferred route -- to the alternate route, excuse me. Additional alternate routes. Excuse me.

MEMBER PALMER: I move approval to the
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MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, that's adopted. Moving on to the conditions.

MS. GRABEL: Mr. Chairman, may I say one quick thing?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Ms. Grabel.
MS. GRABEL: So Exhibit A typically depicts the route that's actually approved by the Committee. And the current Exhibit \(A\) is described as a map of the project, including the preferred and alternative routes, which would suggest all of the routes.

So we will modify Exhibit A to include Routes 1A and 1B and 2B and whatever, you know, what --

CHMN STAFFORD: Who wants a "yes"?
MS. GRABEL: But do we need to change the language? So a map of the project, including the approved routes, or something to that effect?

A map of the project reflecting the approved routes? And then you could list what they are.

CHMN STAFFORD: Members?
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MEMBER HAMWAY: I'm fine with that.
CHMN STAFFORD: Can I get a motion?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yeah.
CHMN STAFFORD: Can I get a motion?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Oh, sure. So moved.
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor.
(A chorus of "ayes.")
MEMBER FRENCH: Mr. Chairman, can we see that verbiage before we vote?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes. It's -- we have to amend it, yeah. So it should read, \(A\) map of the project, including -- why can't it just be the map of the project consisting of --

MEMBER LITTLE: I like the word reflected.
MS. GRABEL: What if you actually add a sentence before it that says, "The Committee considered the various alternatives, and, you know, because of the visual impacts to stakeholders decided to adopt alternative routes"?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, I was thinking about doing that in the findings of fact and conclusions of law. MS. GRABEL: Okay.

CHMN STAFFORD: At this point we're just describing -- you're not going to have an Exhibit A
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reflecting the changes today, are you?
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MS. GRABEL: We can.
CHMN STAFFORD: You can?
MS. GRABEL: P-Dub's (phonetic) working on it right now.

Another alternative is to have two maps. We'll have an Exhibit A and Exhibit B.

CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah. I'm inclined to keep this sentence, The map of the project, including preferred alternative routes as attached as Exhibit A.

That way when the Commission looks at it, they can see they'll have a map of what we're talking about.

MS. GRABEL: Uh-huh.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, I like the idea of an Exhibit B with the final route.

MS. GRABEL: Okay.
CHMN STAFFORD: Members, how do you feel about that?

MEMBER PALMER: Yeah, I think that works.
MEMBER LITTLE: Works for me, yep.
MEMBER PALMER: You can add to that.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Should we make a motion?
CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, let's -- let's just -- all
right. So we finished this section.
We're keeping it as it is; correct?

GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC www.glennie-reporting.com

MEMBER HAMWAY: Correct.
CHMN STAFFORD: We're not changing that last sentence.

MEMBER PALMER: Or do you want to add Exhibit --
MEMBER HAMWAY: I think we want to add --
MEMBER PALMER: -- B?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yeah, sure. Is this the right place?

CHMN STAFFORD: Well, let's --
MEMBER LITTLE: Yes. All right. So --
MEMBER HAMWAY: I think --
CHMN STAFFORD: Or we could add a sentence that says, A map of the final -- a map of the final approved route is attached as Exhibit B.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Correct.
MEMBER PALMER: There you go.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I think that's important.
CHMN STAFFORD: Do we need a comma between final and approved?

MS. GRABEL: I don't think so.
CHMN STAFFORD: All right. Can I get a motion?
MEMBER PALMER: Mr. Chairman, I would amend my motion to adopt the alternative routes to include that last sentence and the Exhibit B.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.

CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none.
Are we ready to address the conditions, members?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yep.
CHMN STAFFORD: All right.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 1.
MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 1 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 2.
MEMBER PALMER: I move Condition 2.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor?
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 2 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 3.
MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 3.
MEMBER FRENCH: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 3 is adopted.

Condition 4.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 4.
MEMBER DRAGO: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor?
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 4 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 5.
I had a quick thought about -- oh, it's the next one, I think. Yeah.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Which one? We're on 5?
CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, we're on 5.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 5.
MEMBER GENTLES: Second.

CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 5 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 6.
This is the standard language we've used before, but I recall from the presentation that there could be potentially issues with construction during certain times of year based on the nesting habits of some of the avian species.

Is it -- would that be -- would that be covered by this? I don't -- I don't think it would.

MEMBER LITTLE: Huh-uh.
CHMN STAFFORD: Because I remember in other cases we've had they had like a whole avian protection plan, which is more than just --

MEMBER PALMER: But is this saying --
MEMBER HAMWAY: Well, we have that.
CHMN STAFFORD: -- practicing it.
MEMBER HAMWAY: We have that.
MEMBER PALMER: I think this is saying that they have to use that avian protection plan.

CHMN STAFFORD: I would ask the Applicant
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about --
MEMBER HAMWAY: We never really know what that plan is called.

CHMN STAFFORD: I'd ask the Applicant if they recall the -- the presentation -- their discussion and their handling of the -- with the nesting of a certain species during the construction phase.

Can you give us some assurances that the -- how the applicant will handle to minimize the impact to the --

MS. GRABEL: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to let Mr . Bryner respond to that question.

CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you.
MR. BRYNER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Those are kind of two separate issues.

So this one addresses electrocution of birds through -- we minimize that through the design of the line and then the way we operate the line.

What you're referring to would be the temporary impacts associated with construction, and we have those environmental protection measures.

If you'll recall Mr . Lindenlaub's testimony that we have built and listed into the biological evaluation included in the application that includes things like
preconstruction surveys for nesting birds and things like that or constructing outside of those nesting seasons.

And so we intend to comply with all of those environmental protection measures that are listed in the application, and I feel that would be adequate to comply with what your -- what your concerns is.

MR. ACKEN: Mr. Chairman, can I --
CHMN STAFFORD: I'm just trying to consider where it's going to be reflected in the conditions.

Mr. Acken.
MR. ACKEN: I'm sorry for speaking over you, Mr. Chairman. I did want to be heard on this.

I believe it's addressed in both Condition 1 and Condition 5.

You know, we have -- you know, the Applicant has obligations to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws. That would include laws governing migratory birds, which addresses this very issue.

Condition 5, you know, you've got the Game and Fish's guidelines for handling, which also addresses this same issue.

So that's -- that's why, you know, this question of how do you handle nesting season arises frequently. Conditions 1 and 5 address that.

CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you. I feel better now.
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Members, I think we're considering Condition 6 now.

MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 6.
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 6 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 7.
MEMBER LITTLE: I move Condition 7.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 7 is adopted.

Condition 8.
MEMBER PALMER: Move Condition 8.
MEMBER MERCER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 8 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 9.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 9.
MEMBER DRAGO: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 9 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 10.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 10.
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 10 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 11.
MEMBER GENTLES: Mr. Chairman, I move Condition 11.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
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CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 11 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 12.
MEMBER PALMER: I move Condition 12.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 12 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 13.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 13.
MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 13 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 14.
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MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 14.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 14 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 15.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 15.
MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 15 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 16.
MEMBER LITTLE: I move Condition 16.
MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 16 is
```

adopted.

```

Moving on to Condition 17. I think what we're seeing is a formatting error on the one on the left. Oh, it's moving around.

MEMBER PALMER: I move Condition 17.
MEMBER MERCER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 17 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 18.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 18.
MEMBER MERCER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 18 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 19.
MEMBER MERCER: I move Condition 19.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
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(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 19 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 20.
MEMBER PALMER: I move Condition 20.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 20 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 21.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 21.
MEMBER MERCER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 21 is adopted.

Moving on to Condition 22.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Condition 22.

MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 22 is adopted.

Moving on to the finding of fact and conclusions of law, and I believe we'll need to add one to this section justifying the route selection.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.
MEMBER PALMER: Do you want to start with the ones that we have?

CHMN STAFFORD: Right. So we'll just -- we'll work through it, and we'll -- at some point we'll have to have a motion to add a condition.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.
MEMBER PALMER: I will move finding of fact and conclusion of law No. 1.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, finding of fact
and conclusion of law No. 1 is adopted.
Moving on to No. 2.
MEMBER GENTLES: Mr. Chairman, I'll move finding of fact and conclusion of law No. 2.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, No. 2 is adopted.
Moving on to No. 3.
MEMBER GENTLES: Move finding 3.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: Oh, I think this is, perhaps, the one we want to amend to reflect the route selection.

MEMBER PALMER: Okay.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Oh, you want to do it there? Okay.

MS. GRABEL: Mr. Chairman, I agree with that.
CHMN STAFFORD: Okay.
MS. GRABEL: Perhaps add the language saying,
"In doing so the Committee determined that it was appropriate and in the public interest to adopt alternatives 2 --

CHMN STAFFORD: 1B, 2B and 1C.
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MEMBER PALMER: -- 1B and 2B and 1C. To be or not to be.

MR. ACKEN: That's not right. 2A, 2B, 1C.
MS. GRABEL: Right. 2A, 2B, 1C. Thank you.
MR. ACKEN: Yeah.
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little.
MEMBER LITTLE: How is the capital \(P\) project defined? I guess we could scroll all the way back and see, but does --

CHMN STAFFORD: The project is defined on page 1 as a 138 kV transmission line called the Rio Rico to Harshaw 138 kV transmission line.

MEMBER LITTLE: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER FRENCH: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member French.
MEMBER FRENCH: May I suggest adding something at the end of the sentence that reflects the final route shown in Exhibit B?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yes. I think that's an excellent suggestion.

You said a final approved route shown in Exhibit B?

And, Ms. Grabel, Exhibit B is forthcoming;
```

correct?

```
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MS. GRABEL: Exhibit \(B\) is actually with the \(A / V\) team right now. We can put it up.

CHMN STAFFORD: All right. They can wait because \(I\) think we'll vote on adopting Exhibits \(A\) and \(B\) after we -- at the end since because they're attached to the end of the CEC.

MS. GRABEL: Correct.
MEMBER PALMER: I would move finding of fact 3 as amended.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, finding of fact and conclusions of law No. 3 is adopted.

Moving on to No. 4.
MEMBER FRENCH: Move finding 4.
MEMBER DRAGO: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, No. 4 is adopted.
Moving on to No. 5.

MEMBER PALMER: Move finding 5.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, No. 5 is adopted.
Moving on to No. 6.
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move condition -- or finding of fact No. 6.

MEMBER PALMER: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Condition 6 is adopted.

Now, let's move down to the exhibits. The exhibits were attached. It's exhibits. Yes. Okay.

MEMBER GENTLES: Mr. Chairman, do you need to put the date in there?

CHMN STAFFORD: Yeah, we will. We need to put what the vote is too in it. And that's in the introduction.

MEMBER GENTLES: Yeah.
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CHMN STAFFORD: But we haven't had the final vote yet, so we don't -- that date could conceivably be different than today if something were to happen.

MS. GRABEL: Mr. Chairman, while we're waiting for the maps, this is just kind of \(O C D\) grammar thing, but on No. 3 I think it would be more clear if we said, "Which is the final approved route shown in Exhibit B." Would the Committee be okay with that? Right now it just looks like another in a series of commas.

CHMN STAFFORD: As the final approved route? MS. GRABEL: Which is the final approved route. CHMN STAFFORD: Okay. There you go. Can I get a motion?

MEMBER HAMWAY: So moved.
MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none.
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Finding of fact and conclusions of law No. 3 is amended.

MS. GRABEL: Thank you. And the OCD in-house counsel thanks you very much.
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CHMN STAFFORD: Pardon?
MS. GRABEL: The grammar OCD in-house counsel thanks the Committee very much.

CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you.
MEMBER LITTLE: Mr. Chairman.
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes, Member Little.
MEMBER LITTLE: In No. 3 I think it is finding of fact it is just a single transmission system, not multiple transmissions system.

CHMN STAFFORD: Maybe --
MEMBER HAMWAY: NO. 2.
MEMBER LITTLE: No. 2. Sorry.
CHMN STAFFORD: No. 2? Oh, yes, yes.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yep.
CHMN STAFFORD: That's a typo there. I could get that in a scrivener's error, but let's do it -- but let's do it now then so it's one less thing to worry about.

MEMBER LITTLE: OCD and my engineer's brain thanks you very much.

CHMN STAFFORD: Did we get a motion?
MEMBER HAMWAY: I move finding of fact No. 2 as amended.

MEMBER PALMER: Second.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Although it hasn't been amended
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yet, has it?
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    MEMBER PALMER: Yeah, it is.
    CHMN STAFFORD: You can barely see it.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.
    CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
    (A chorus of "ayes.")
    CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
    (No response.)
    CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, finding of fact
and conclusion of law No. 2 is amended -- as amended is
adopted.
    So we're waiting on the exhibits now to adopt.
    MEMBER PALMER: There they are.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: I move Exhibit A.
    MEMBER PALMER: Do we move the exhibits or did
we -- yeah.
    MEMBER HAMWAY: We do.
    MEMBER PALMER: Okay. Then I'll second.
    CHMN STAFFORD: Is that second I heard, Member
Palmer?
    MEMBER PALMER: Yes.
    CHMN STAFFORD: All right. So this is going to
be with the Exhibit \(A\) with both -- all the alternate
routes on it.
    All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Exhibit A is adopted.

Moving on to Exhibit B.
This shows the final approved route.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Did we ever --
CHMN STAFFORD: Is that the corridor width that I'm seeing in this additional detail?

MS. GRABEL: Yes, Chairman, it is.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Did we ever? Is this map somewhere that \(I\) could read the fine print?

Do we need to -- I don't remember seeing this particular map.

MS. GRABEL: No, Member Hamway. This map was created last night and this morning to reflect what you are approving today and to more clearly define the approved corridors.

MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.
MS. GRABEL: We discussed what the corridors were during the testimony. If you'd like us to print it out, we can do that.

MEMBER HAMWAY: No. I can't read that small print.

Is it just a description of where -- of what it is?

MS. GRABEL: It is. So just by way of an example I can read the one on the very left. "Begin 500-foot corridor at existing transmission line near Vereda Ortiz in Section 12."

MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay.
MS. GRABEL: And then it kind of follows the route accordingly.

CHMN STAFFORD: And then it's the widest corridor up at the top through the forest and will allow the most leeway to place the poles.

I believe that's a difficult terrain you have to work with, isn't it.

MS. GRABEL: That's correct.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay. I move Exhibit B.
MEMBER LITTLE: Second.
CHMN STAFFORD: All in favor say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
CHMN STAFFORD: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHMN STAFFORD: Hearing none, Exhibit B is adopted.

I believe now we're ready to vote the entire CEC.

Unless there's anything further from the members, are we ready for the final vote? Is the Committee going to review it in its entirety one more time before they move the item? MEMBER HAMWAY: I don't need to.

Does somebody?
CHMN STAFFORD: Can I get a motion?
MEMBER HAMWAY: Am I moving the whole CEC?
CHMN STAFFORD: Yes.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Okay. I move the CEC as presented in the right-hand side -- oh, no, it's the left-hand side as amended.

MEMBER PALMER: I will second the motion.
CHMN STAFFORD: We'll do a roll call vote for the final order.

Member French.
MEMBER FRENCH: I vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Mercer.
MEMBER MERCER: I vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Drago.
MEMBER DRAGO: I vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Hamway.
MEMBER HAMWAY: Yes. First of all, I'd like to thank Mr. Risner for the amazing presentation of the mine. I know that was not jurisdictional, but I do
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appreciate the effort.
And I appreciate all the residents that came out, because it does help us understand the area better. And I hope the selections that we've made are helpful to the community, and I do believe that this project is going to be an amazing economic driver for Santa Cruz County.

And with that \(I\) vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Palmer.
MEMBER PALMER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I too would like to thank the Applicant, the Intervenor, and I want to think the public as well. I think it was reflected in some of the routes we adopted today their input into this process. And while -- when some are for and some are against, everyone never goes away completely happy.

I think given the economic significance to not only the area but to our country that this mine plays and the necessity of this power line to make that a reality, I think we have to look at the greater good, and I think the Applicant did a great job of presenting that case to us these last three days, and I want to thank them.

I want to thank Jennifer. There's nothing worse than being away from home when you don't feel good. And we certainly understand having to stop for a sneeze now GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 602.266 .6535 www.glennie-reporting.com Phoenix, AZ
and then, but thank you for your service here today.
And with that \(I\) vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Little.
MEMBER LITTLE: I also would like to thank the Applicant for the presentation, and in particular the willingness to work with the stakeholders in the community.

I also very much appreciate the residents coming out and expressing their concerns, their support, their requests as has been expressed already here. It's so helpful to us.

I recognize that we are unable to accommodate everyone's requests, but I think that both the Applicant and we as a Committee have tried to do our best.

And I also appreciate the thoroughness of the Applicant in planning and studying the addition of this line to the -- to Arizona and the western grid. I think that's important and is often maybe shortchanged a little bit.

The siting process study was very interesting to me also. I appreciate that.

And with that \(I\) vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: Member Gentles.
MEMBER GENTLES: Mr. Chairman, I vote aye.
CHMN STAFFORD: And I vote aye as well.
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By a vote of 8 to 0 , the CEC's approved. You can put today's date on the last page as well.

Anything further from the parties?
MS. GRABEL: No, Mr. Chairman. Thank you and the Committee very much again for your efforts.

MEMBER LITTLE: Can we leave all of this paperwork for you to recycle?

MS. GRABEL: Yes. Sure thing.
MEMBER LITTLE: Thank you.
CHMN STAFFORD: Thank you. With that we are adjourned.
(The hearing concluded at 10:05 a.m.)
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